

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* *

L. C.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01244-BNW

Plaintiff,

i idilitiii,

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Commissioner of Social Security,

v.

Defendant.

Plaintiff's attorney moves for an award of attorneys' fees following Plaintiff's successful motion for remand. ECF No. 39. No opposition has been filed. The court grants the motion.

Plaintiff entered into a contingency fee agreement with his attorney for 25% of any past-due benefits awarded upon a reversal of an unfavorable decision by an administrative law judge. ECF No. 39-2. The court granted Plaintiff's motion to remand and remanded for further proceedings. ECF No. 31. Upon remand, the Social Security Administration awarded Plaintiff approximately \$68,730.00 in past-due benefits. ECF No. 39-3.

Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A), when a claimant who is represented by counsel obtains a favorable court judgment, "the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment." This fee is payable out of the past-due benefits awarded to the claimant and not as an additional recovery from the defendant. *Id*.

Although other fee-shifting schemes resort to use of the "lodestar" method to calculate a reasonable attorney's fee, § 406(b) is not a fee-shifting statute. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 802 (2002). The statute requires the attorney's fee be taken from the past-due benefits awarded to the claimant and not as an additional recovery from the defendant. *Id.* Thus, § 406(b) "does not displace contingent-fee agreements as the primary means by which fees are set for

successfully representing Social Security benefits claimants in court. Rather, § 406(b) calls for court review of such arrangements as an independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." *Id.* at 807. The only statutorily-imposed constraint is that the fee agreement cannot "provide for fees exceeding 25 percent of the past-due benefits." *Id.* (citing § 406(b)(1)(A)).

Consequently, in Social Security cases, the court begins with the contingency fee agreement and then "tests it for reasonableness." *Id.* at 808. "[T]he question is whether the amount need[s to] be reduced, not whether the loadstar amount should be enhanced." *Crawford v. Astrue*, 586 F.3d 1142, 1149 (9th Cir. 2009) (en banc). The court may reduce the fee award "based on the character of the representation and the results the representative achieved." *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808. Thus, the court "may properly reduce the fee for substandard performance, delay, or benefits that are not in proportion to the time spent on the case." *Crawford*, 586 F.3d at 1151. The court may "consider the lodestar calculation, but only as an aid in assessing the reasonableness of the fee." *Id.* (emphasis omitted).

The attorney seeking the fee award bears the burden of establishing the fee sought is reasonable. *Id.* at 1148. The award of fees under § 406(b) lies within the court's discretion. *Id.* at 1147.

Plaintiff's attorney requests less than the full twenty-five percent of Plaintiff's past due benefits. He requests \$9,982.50. ECF No. 39-1 at 4. He presents evidence that counsel spent 34.7 hours on the case and that paralegals spent 8.1 hours on the case. *Id.* at 9-10. There is no evidence of substandard performance. Rather, counsel obtained a favorable result in the form of a remand and subsequent award of substantial past benefits. There is no evidence counsel caused any delay to increase the contingent amount. Additionally, the fees are not excessively large in relation to the past-due benefits obtained for the claimant. Plaintiff's attorney, therefore, has met his burden of establishing a reasonable fee award in the amount of \$9,982.50.

Case 2:21-cv-01244-BNW Document 40 Filed 05/24/23 Page 3 of 3

The Court previously granted the parties' stipulation to award fees in the amount of \$8,400.00 under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA). ECF No. 39. Upon receipt of the \$9,982.50 the \$8400.00 must be refunded to Ms. Cox. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion for attorney's fees (ECF No. 39) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's attorney is awarded attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) in the amount of \$9,982.50. DATED: May 24, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE